The epigenetic modifier lysine methyltransferase 2C is frequently mutated in gastric remnant carcinoma

Bo Sun1,2†, Haojie Chen3†, Jiawen Lao4†, Cong Tan5, Yue Zhang5, Zhen Shao3* and Dazhi Xu1,2*

1Department of Gastric Surgery, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center, Shanghai, PR China
2Department of Oncology, Shanghai Medical College, Fudan University, Shanghai, PR China
3CAS Key Laboratory of Computational Biology, Shanghai Institute of Nutrition and Health, Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, PR China
4Department of Gastric Surgery, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, PR China
5Department of Pathology, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center, Shanghai, PR China

*Correspondence to: Zhen Shao, CAS Key Laboratory of Computational Biology, Shanghai Institute of Nutrition and Health, Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, PR China
†These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Gastric remnant carcinoma (GRC), which occurs in the stomach after partial gastrectomy, is a rare and aggressive form of gastric adenocarcinoma (GAC). Comprehensive profiling of genomic mutations in GRC could provide the basis for elucidating the origin and characteristics of this cancer. Herein, whole-exome sequencing (WES) was performed on 36 matched tumor–normal samples from patients with GRC and identified recurrent mutations in epigenetic modifiers, notably KMT2C, ARID1A, NSD1, and KMT2D, in 61.11% of cases. Mutational signature analysis revealed a low frequency of microsatellite instability (MSI) in GRC, which was further identified by MSIsensor, MSI–polymerase chain reaction, and immunohistochemistry analysis. Comparative analysis demonstrated that GRC had a distinct mutation spectrum compared to that of GAC in The Cancer Genome Atlas samples, with a significantly higher mutation rate of KMT2C. Targeted deep sequencing (Target-seq) of an additional 25 paired tumor–normal samples verified the high mutation frequency (48%) of KMT2C in GRC. KMT2C mutations correlated with poor overall survival in both WES and Target-seq cohorts and were independent prognosticators in GRC. In addition, KMT2C mutations were positively correlated with favorable outcomes in immune checkpoint inhibitor–treated pan-cancer patients and associated with higher intratumoral CD3+ CD8+ tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte counts, and PD-L1 expression in GRC samples (p = 0.018, 0.092, 0.047, 0.010, and 0.034, respectively). Our dataset provides a platform for information and knowledge mining of the genomic characteristics of GRC and helps to frame new therapeutic approaches for this disease.
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Introduction

Gastric remnant carcinoma (GRC) is a relatively rare malignancy that develops in the remnant stomach after partial gastrectomy, regardless of the initial pathology or reconstruction technique [1]. GRC has an incidence of 1–5% after gastrectomy. Due to adjacent organ invasion and extensive lymph node metastasis, GRC has an unfavorable prognosis [2], and improving its clinical outcome remains a challenge.

Recently, omics analyses have been performed to determine the genomic characteristics of gastric adenocarcinoma (GAC). The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) has conducted comprehensive genomic and transcriptomic analyses of GAC and categorized it into four molecular subtypes [3]. Subsequently, the Asian Cancer Research Group classified GAC into four subtypes with different outcomes [4]. Recently, several studies have identified the molecular features of some GAC subtypes, such as diffuse-type GAC, early onset...
GAC, and Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) GAC [5–7]. These clinicopathological subtypes and associated omics signatures allow better patient stratification and personalized treatment. However, the genomic characteristics of GRC, a rare GAC subtype, remain largely unexplored.

In this study, we aimed to uncover the underlying genomic landscape of GRC by performing whole-exome sequencing (WES) on a cohort of 36 paired tumor–normal samples and targeted deep sequencing (Target-seq) on an additional set of 25 paired tumor–normal samples. We provide an integrated analysis of somatic sequence mutations, tumor-infiltrating immune cells, and programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression in GRC. These results provide valuable biological and clinical insights into the underlying genomic features of this disease and possible new therapeutic targets.

Materials and methods

Patients and samples

We obtained 36 formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded paired tumor–normal samples from GRC patients at Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center for WES. An expanded cohort of 25 GRC samples was obtained from Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center as the validation cohort for Target-seq. All patients were independently diagnosed with GRC after surgery by at least two pathologists. Patient demographics, tumor characteristics, and survival information were obtained. This study was approved by the ethics committees of both participating institutions.

WES and data processing

DNA was extracted using the QIAamp DNA FFPE Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to standard protocols. Genomic DNA was extracted from tumor-adjacent normal tissues using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen) and sequenced as a germline reference. Exon capture was performed using Clinical Research Exome V2 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Sequencing was performed on a NovaSeq 6000 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA), with 150 bp paired-end reads.

Adapters and low-quality bases in FASTQ reads were removed, and the clean reads were aligned to the Genome Reference Consortium Human Build 37 (GRCh37) using BWA [8]. QualiMap was used for further quality control of the alignment result [9]. The BAM files were then subjected to realignment, duplicate marking, and recalibration using the Picard and GATK software tools [10]. The resulting BAM files were used for further downstream analyses. We ran MuTect for single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) [11] and Pindel for small insertions and deletions (indels) [12]. Only MuTect calls marked as ‘KEEP’ were selected for SNVs analysis. For indels, mutations with low coverage (<20 reads for tumor samples; <10 reads for normal samples) or identified as germline mutations in other normal samples were removed. ANNOVAR was used to annotate all somatic mutations after filtering [13]. Somatic mutations annotated as nonsynonymous or unknown were excluded from downstream analysis.

Target-seq analysis

Library preparation was performed using a total amount of 40 ng genomic DNA per sample with adapter and barcode ligation. Sequencing adaptors and barcodes were ligated to the amplicons using ligase. After the barcoded library construction and purification, Qubit 3.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Q33216, Waltham, MA, USA) and Qsep100 (BiOptic, New Taipei City, Taiwan) were used to quantify concentrations and determine the length of library fragments (from 320 to 420 bp), respectively. Sequencing libraries were generated using Multiplex® Custom Panel (iGeneTech, Beijing, PR China) following the manufacturer’s recommendations and index codes were added to each sample. An Illumina Amplicon library was sequenced on a NovaSeq 6000 instrument (Illumina) on rapid run mode to a read depth of 5,000× (~0.2 GB of total data with 2 × 150 nt reads). The reads with low quality were deleted from the raw data using Trimomatic-0.38. After removing primer sequences, the reads were mapped to the hg19 reference sequence with BWA-0.7.12. Variants were then called using Varscan-2.4.3 according to best practice (min MQ ≥20, min coverage ≥4); indel realigned by GATK3.8.1. The final variant sets were annotated using Annovar-201707. The quality of Target-seq was then adjusted in the downstream analysis. In the bioinformatic analysis, we defined variants with variant allele frequency (VAF) > 2% as somatic mutations; most mutated samples had at least one variant with VAF ≥ 5% in this study (i.e. 9/12).

Mutational signature and microsatellite instability analysis

Mutational signature decomposition was performed using the Mutatisk toolkit, based on 30 characterized
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COSMIC mutational signatures [14,15]. The SNVs from each individual were aggregated to achieve mutational signature decomposition. MutaSisk was further run-on aggregated mutations from GRC cohort and TCGA-STAD. The proportion of the top signatures is presented for comparison between the GRC cohort and TCGA-STAD. Microsatellite instability (MSI) was detected using MSISensor, with a score >3.5 indicating MSI, according to the original publication [16].

Somatic copy number variation

Somatic copy number variation (SCNV) analysis was performed using VarScan2 [17]. After correcting for sequencing depth and GC bias, log2 copy number changes were subjected to the DNAcopy R package for SCNV calling. Log2 copy ratios >1 or <−1 were defined as copy number gains or losses, respectively. The SCNV burden was defined as the proportion of the genome with copy number gain or loss against the total length of the profiled genome.

Pathway enrichment analysis

Genes with mutation frequency ≥10% were subjected to gene ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) enrichment analyses using the gseapyPython package [18]. Only the GO terms for molecular functions were used in the GO enrichment analysis. Top-ranked significantly enriched pathways were selected to demonstrate recurrently altered pathways.

Comparison of gene mutation rates

We downloaded TCGA-STAD data from the GDC database using the function GDCquery of the TCGAbiolinks R package and molecular classification from the GDC Data Portal (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov) [19]. We then compared gene mutation rates and mutational signatures between GRC and TCGA-STAD samples (including four subtypes of GAC).

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed using standard procedures [20]. Tissue sections were incubated with the following antibodies: anti-KMT2C (1:1,000), anti-H3K4me1 (1:1,000), anti-H3K4me3 (1:1,000), anti-CD163 (1:200), anti-CD4 (1:1,000), anti-CD8 (1:2,000), and anti-PD-L1 (1:500) antibodies (all from Abcam, Cambridge, UK). Details are given in Supplementary materials and methods.

Helicobacter pylori and EBV testing

The presence of EBV infection was determined using an in situ hybridization kit (Zsbio, Beijing, PR China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions on formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded samples. Histologic diagnosis of Helicobacter pylori was based on Wright-Giemsa staining for each specimen and was made by two experienced pathologists (CT and YZ) without discrepancy.

Statistical analysis

Statistical significance was determined using the two-tailed t test, rank-sum test (for mutations associated with the SCNV burden), or Fisher’s exact test (for differences in mutation rates). Survival rates were estimated and compared using the Kaplan–Meier method and log-rank test. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

Results

Patient characteristics

The experimental flowchart is presented in supplemental material, Figure S1. Patient demographic and clinical characteristics are shown in Table 1. The mean age at diagnosis in the WES cohort was 64.5 years. Among the 36 cases, 21 (58.3%) had a history of benign diseases, while 15 (41.7%) had malignant diseases. The time interval between the previous gastrectomy and the current diagnosis was 22.1 ± 14.3 years. The reconstruction methods included B-I (16.7%), B-II (72.2%), and others (11.1%). Seventeen patients had tumors at the anastomotic site, whereas the remaining had tumors in a nonanastomotic location. Tumors were well or moderately differentiated in 17 cases, while the rest were poorly differentiated. Based on the eighth edition of the TNM classification, there were 8 stage I, 10 stage II, and 18 stage III patients. The rate of H. pylori infection was 41.7% and the frequency of EBV infection was 22.2%. Four patients received neoadjuvant therapy, and 24 received postoperative chemotherapy or radiation therapy. After a median follow-up of 30.50 months, 12 patients died and 24 were alive.

Genomic landscape of GRC

The WES analysis pipeline is illustrated in supplemental material, Figure S2. WES was performed on the
Table 1. Clinical characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WES</th>
<th>Target-seq</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of tissues</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean ± SD</td>
<td>64.50 ± 7.78</td>
<td>61.56 ± 9.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interval (median, range)</td>
<td>22.14 ± 14.33</td>
<td>20.12 ± 14.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMN stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤5 ng/ml</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5 ng/ml</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epstein–Barr Encoding Region (EBER)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helicobacter pylori infection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSI status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSS</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjuvant treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neoadjuvant + adjuvant</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy and/or</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>radiation therapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Genomic DNA of 36 GRC and matched normal samples, with an average coverage of 81× and 31×, respectively (supplementary material, Figure S3 and Table S1). Sixty-one nonsynonymous SNVs and 126.5 indels per sample were identified upon variant filtering, equivalent to 3.25 nonsynonymous mutations per megabase of targeted DNA (supplementary material, Table S2). The predominant single-nucleotide mutations were C > T transitions and C > A transversions, accounting for nearly 60% of SNVs (Figure 1A). The most frequently mutated genes in GRC were KMT2C (41.7%), TP53 (30.6%), MUC16 (25.0%), MUC4 (19.4%), ARID1A (19.4%), and PIK3CA (19.4%) (Figure 1A). A comparison was made between the gene mutation rates of GRC and TCGA-STAD (supplementary material, Table S3). The mutation rates of KMT2C (36.1% versus 8.8%, p < 0.0001) and GNAS (13.9% versus 4.2%, p = 0.02) were significantly higher in GRC than in TCGA-STAD (Figure 1B). Additionally, the KMT2C mutation rate in GRC significantly surpassed that in TCGA-MSI, TCGA-EBV, and TCGA-CIN, but not in TCGA-GS, which is characterized by mismatch repair (MMR) deficiency (Figure 1C). The mutation distributions of TP53 and PIK3CA are shown in supplementary material, Figure S4, and the association between mutated genes and GRC clinicopathological characteristics in supplementary material, Figure S5 and Table S4.

To decipher the underlying biological process operative in generating the mutational profile of GRC, we analyzed the mutation signature compositions in GRC and TCGA-STAD. We found six base substitution signatures in GRC specimens (Figure 1D), according to the COSMIC nomenclature: signatures #1 (34.8%), #6 (21.0%), #3 (19.5%), #5 (17.4%), #17 (4.3%), and #7 (3.1%). Signature #6 correlated with defective DNA MMR and MSI status. The proportion of signature #6 was lower in GRC than in TCGA-STAD (21.0% versus 35.0%). In addition, signatures #15 (22.8% in TCGA-STAD), #26 (1.7% in TCGA-STAD), #13 (1.0% in TCGA-STAD), and #10 (1.0% in TCGA-STAD) were all not observed in GRC. These signatures were associated with defective MMR or somatic hotspot mutations in POLE, suggesting a low MSI frequency in GRC. To further assess the MSI status in GRC, MSIsensor was used to evaluate the somatic MSI index in these samples [21]; most GRC samples (35/36) were identified as microsatellite stable (MSS) (supplementary material, Figure S6A and Table S5). We further determined the MSI status in an expanded cohort of 25 GRC samples using MSI-polymerase chain reaction and IHC analysis. Both assays indicated that all samples were MSS.

We then identified SCNVs in GRC and correlated the mutated genes with the corresponding SCNV burden. ARID1A mutation was associated with decreased copy-number instability (p < 0.05), whereas TP53 mutation was associated with increased copy-number instability (p < 0.05) (supplementary material, Figure S6B).

GO and KEGG enrichment analyses

GO and KEGG enrichment analyses are instrumental in determining the functionality and interactions of
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Figure 1. Legend on next page.
genes and their products, contributing to the understanding of biological processes and pathways. In this study, the top five most significantly enriched KEGG pathways were ‘Lysine degradation’, ‘Pathways in cancer’, ‘Cellular senescence’, ‘Fanconi anemia pathway’, and ‘p53 signaling pathway’ (Figure 2A). The GO enrichment analysis indicated that significantly mutated genes were predominantly enriched in epigenetic regulation, such as ‘histone-lysine N-methyltransferase activity’, ‘histone methyltransferase activity’, ‘histone methyltransferase activity (H3-K4 specific)’, ‘DNA binding’, and ‘histone methyltransferase activity (H3-K36 specific)’ (Figure 2B and supplementary material, Table S6).

Frequent mutations in epigenetic modifiers

Since most of the highly mutated genes were enriched in epigenetic regulation, we further analyzed the alteration frequency in epigenetic modifiers with a mutation rate ≥10% in the database of epigenetic modifiers. We found that 22/36 GRC samples (61.1%) carried at least one mutated epigenetic modifier [22]. KMT2C was mutated in 41.7% (15/36) of cases, followed by ARID1A (19.4%), NSD1 (13.9%), and KMT2D (11.1%) (Figure 2C). Moreover, the relationship between mutations in epigenetic modifiers and overall survival (OS) was assessed. Patients with mutations in epigenetic modifiers had worse OS than those without such mutations (Hazard Ratio (HR) = 3.78, 95% CI = 0.81–16.65, p = 0.070) (Figure 2D). These results suggest that the mutation status of epigenetic modifiers has a prognosis value in GRC.

A linear depiction of the protein domains of the frequently mutated epigenetic modifiers in GRC is shown in Figure 3A–C. Eighteen out of 25 (72.0%) mutations in KMT2C were enriched in the plant homeodomain (PHD) (p = 3.1e–15) in GRC samples (Figure 3A), while KMT2C mutations in TCGA-STAD were dispersed throughout the entire gene (Figure 3B). Recent findings suggest that missense mutations in PHD diminish KMT2C recruitment to gene enhancers [23], implying an oncogenic effect of KMT2C mutations in this domain in GRC.

Target-seq validation of the KMT2C mutation spectrum and its clinical significance

In the cohort subjected to WES, 24 KMT2C mutations were identified in 15/36 (41.7%) patients with GRC, consisting of 20 missense mutations and 4 truncation mutations (supplementary material, Table S7). Then, Target-seq was performed to verify KMT2C mutation in an extended cohort of 25 GRC patients. This cohort showed a high rate (12/25) of KMT2C mutations (Figure 4A). In this extended cohort, 21 mutations were detected in 12 patients, comprising 19 missense mutations and 2 splicing mutations (supplementary material, Table S8).

KMT2C mutations in different datasets collected from various GAC studies were analyzed using cBioPortal, an open-access tool for large-scale cancer genomic dataset analysis. The mutation frequency of KMT2C ranged from 2.56% to 13.00% in different GAC datasets [3,24–27] (Figure 4A and supplementary material, Table S9). The mutation frequency of KMT2C ranged from 10.13 to 14.81% in different GAC subtypes, including signet ring carcinoma of the stomach (14.81%), intestinal-type GAC (12.35%), diffuse-type GAC (11.81%), mucinous GAC (11.36%), and tubular GAC (10.13%) (Figure 4A and supplementary material, Table S10). The mutation frequency of KMT2C in GRC was significantly higher than that in TCGA-STAD.

The relationship between KMT2C mutation and OS in the Target-seq cohort is shown in Figure 4B. KMT2C mutation was associated with poorer OS (HRa = 8.02, 95% CI = 1.69–38.09, p = 0.009). Similarly, KMT2C mutation corresponded with reduced OS in the WES cohort (HRb = 3.35, 95% CI = 1.02–11.02, p = 0.035) (Figure 4C). We combined patient data from both WES and Target-seq cohorts and conducted a Cox regression analysis.
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(Figure 4D). KMT2C mutation (HR = 5.04, 95% CI = 1.82–14.01, p = 0.002) and tumor-associated factors, such as lymph node invasion (HR = 2.78, 95% CI = 1.01–7.69, p = 0.049), carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) > 5 ng/ml (HR = 2.81, 95% CI = 1.07–7.39, p = 0.037), and carbohydrate antigen 199 (CA199) > 37 U/ml (HR = 3.42, 95% CI = 1.20–9.78, p = 0.022), were correlated significantly with decreased OS. Upon multivariate analysis, the independent prognosticators of OS encompassed lymph node invasion (HR = 2.99, 95% CI = 1.03–8.73, p = 0.045) and KMT2C mutation (HR = 5.00, 95% CI = 1.67–14.98, p = 0.004).

KMT2C mutation in primary and GRC patient samples

Primary surgical samples were collected from six GRC patients, including two primary benign samples and four primary malignant samples, among which two benign samples (P26 and P48) and one malignant sample (P27) failed to yield quality DNA. KMT2C mutations were detected in the remaining three malignant samples. The KMT2C mutation detected in the primary malignant sample from P28 (p.G315S) was consistent with one of the mutations (p.K2797fs, p.D348N, and p.G315S) in the GRC sample. The KMT2C mutations in the primary malignant sample and GRC sample were different in P23 (p.R866Q versus p.R284Q) and P24 (p.R3398W versus p.R380L and p.G315S).

Relationship between KMT2C mutations and the tumor immune microenvironment

Evidence has suggested KMT2C mutations as potential predictors for immunotherapy response in solid malignancies [28]. We obtained pan-cancer MSKCC data of patients undergoing immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI) therapy from cBioPortal [29]. The relationship between the top-ranked frequently mutated epigenetic modifiers and the efficacy of ICI treatment was then analyzed. Mutated KMT2C was significantly and positively correlated with a better prognosis in patients receiving ICI treatment (p = 0.001), while NSD1, ARID1A, and KMT2D mutations were not (Figure 5A,B).

Hence, we investigated the impact of mutated KMT2C on the tumor immune microenvironment by immunostaining GRC tissue samples for CD3, CD4, CD8, CD163, and PD-L1 (Figure 5C). KMT2C mutations were associated with higher intratumoral CD3+ and CD8+ tumor-
infiltrating lymphocyte (TIL) counts ($p = 0.018$ and 0.047, respectively). There was no significant relationship between the number of CD4$^+$ T cells and $KMT2C$ mutations ($p = 0.092$). $KMT2C$ mutations were also positively correlated with PD-L1 expression ($p = 0.034$). Furthermore, a significant correlation was found between CD163$^+$ tumor-associated macrophage infiltration and $KMT2C$ mutations ($p = 0.010$).
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**Discussion**

In this study, WES data from 36 GRC patients revealed recurrent mutations in epigenetic modifiers, which were investigated as predictors for clinical outcomes. The high frequency of *KMT2C* mutation by WES was re-identified in 25 GRC patients by Target-seq. GRC with *KMT2C* mutations presented higher densities of CD3⁺ TILs, CD8⁺ TILs, and CD163⁺ tumor-associated macrophages, and higher expression of PD-L1.

Epigenetic mutations have been reported to affect numerous facets of cancer, including chromatin packaging, programs of distinct cellular gene expression, and signal transduction, incurring enhanced cancer growth, invasion, and metastasis [30,31]. Recent evidence suggests that epigenetic regulators can alter the immunological characteristics of tumors and make them susceptible to immune treatments [32]. In GAC, epigenetic changes are increasingly being recognized as crucial modulators of cancer development [33,34]. This study revealed the widespread mutational landscape of epigenetic modifiers in GRC, which provides a novel scenario for GRC pathogenesis and recommends potential candidates for therapeutic intervention.
Our results are consistent with previous research on cancer genomics, identifying TP53 as a well-known tumor suppressor and frequently mutated gene in human cancer [35]. The observed similarities in TP53 and MUC16 mutation frequencies between GRC and other TCGA-STAD subtypes further support the relevance of these genes in GAC (supplementary material, Table S3). PIK3CA is a frequently mutated oncogene in various cancers [36]. Two (50%) of the four PIK3CA mutations in this study are classified as pathogenic in the ClinVar database (supplementary material, Figure S4). Increasing data suggest that GNAS mutation promotes carcinogenesis by activating the ERK1/2 MAPK pathway or the Wnt/β-catenin pathway [37]. Previous studies have shown that GNAS mutations are frequent in the fundic gland type of GAC, but seldom occur in gastric antrum cancer [38]. Given that GRC occurs mainly in the proximal stomach, the distribution characteristics of GNAS mutations may heighten the frequency of GNAS mutations in GRC.

Figure 5. Association between KMT2C mutation status and the tumor immune microenvironment. (A) Forest plot depicting the OS benefit of mutations in different epigenetic modifiers for pan-cancer patients subjected to ICI treatment. (B) Kaplan–Meier OS curves of pan-cancer patients subjected to ICI treatment stratified according to the KMT2C mutation status. (C) Representative images of IHC analysis of GRC specimens and quantification of CD3+, CD4+, CD8+, and CD163+ cells, and PD-L1) immunostaining score according to the KMT2C mutation status. Data were analyzed using the t test.
KMT2C mutations present different mutation ratios in different GAC subtypes, among which GRC shows a significantly higher mutation frequency. The high frequency of KMT2C mutations in GRC suggests that these variants act as molecular drivers. KMT2C possesses PHD fingers that serve as histone recognition and binding domains [39]. Missense mutations often disrupt the PHD finger clusters during carcinogenesis, modulating cell growth and differentiation pathways [40,41]. Our study found that KMT2C mutations in GRC mainly occurred in the PHD region, whereas KMT2C mutations in GAC are scattered throughout the genome, further suggesting a role of KMT2C in remnant stomach carcinogenesis. Moreover, KMT2C mutations could function as a potential predictor for OS in GRC and serve as a novel and promising predictive biomarker for ICI treatment in multiple solid tumors [28].

Environmental factors, particularly duodenogastric reflux, including bile reflux, play a major role in GRC development [42,43]. Duodenogastric reflux leads to the development of chronic inflammation in the remnant stomach, which may cause epigenetic mutations in genes such as KMT2C. KMT2C disruption might act as a founder or gatekeeper mutation in early tumor cells, resulting in changes in the epigenetic landscape that enable additional oncogenic modifications [44]. Mechanistically, it has been shown that KMT2C alteration promotes the epithelial–mesenchymal transition of GAC [45]. The pathogenic relationship between duodenogastric reflux and stump cancer would require more mechanistic studies and the exploration of animal models.

MSI refers to a hypermutable pattern of genomic instability, which results from defects and changes in the DNA MMR system [21]. As previously reported, the MSI percentage varies widely in patients with GAC (6.74–33.82%). Our data indicate that MSI may be nonessential to GRC due to the consistent MSS status for GRC patients. MSI was found to be nonessential for GRC as a founder or gatekeeper mutation in early tumor cells, resulting in changes in the epigenomic landscape that enable additional oncogenic modifications [39]. This discovery provides new insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying GRC pathogenesis.

In the past few years, the relationship between epigenetic modifiers and the tumor immune microenvironment has received growing attention [50]. Generally, the CD3+ TIL population is a favorable prognostic factor in cancer, while CD163+ tumor-associated macrophages share similarities with M2 macrophages, which mediate immune suppression [51]. In most tumor types, the tumor-associated macrophage frequency and PD-L1 expression are associated with unfavorable survival [52]. In this study, frequent alterations in KMT2C were associated with high TIL numbers. This result suggests that the mechanism by which mutations in KMT2C induce GRC tumorigenesis and progression may involve alterations in the tumor immune microenvironment.

Our preliminary findings indicate an unusually high prevalence of KMT2C alterations in previous malignancies. Potential explanations encompass gene expression regulation since KMT2C mutations may result in dysregulated gene expression, rendering GAC cells more susceptible to growth in the residual gastric tissue [20]. Furthermore, KMT2C mutations might influence cell cycle regulation, DNA repair, and apoptosis pathways, further accelerating GRC development. KMT2C mutations could potentially heighten tumor heterogeneity, which refers to the genetic and phenotypic variations among tumor cells [53]. This heterogeneity may enable some tumor cells to develop resistance to surgical intervention and chemotherapy, leading to the survival and progression of GRC in the residual gastric tissue. Finally, KMT2C mutations might induce abnormalities in pro-inflammatory factors, growth factors, and other signaling molecules within the tumor microenvironment, fostering tumor development in the residual gastric tissue [53]. It is crucial to note that these explanations are speculative, grounded on the potential impact of KMT2C mutations on GAC progression to GRC. To substantiate these claims, further research and clinical samples are required.

This study has some limitations. GRC is a typical model of carcinogenesis; thus, this will help in understanding the development of carcinoma in the remnant stomach by comparing the sequencing information of the initial specimens with that of the current
have read and approved the pathological assessment and interpretation. All authors and wrote the manuscript. CT and YZ carried out multiple factors including epigenetic modifiers in GRC. Moreover, we propose that KMT2C contributes to GRC pathogenesis by affecting multiple factors including epigenetic modifiers and the tumor microenvironment. To conclude, these findings shall facilitate the design of a more accurate tumor profiling and therapy for GRC.
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